UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,218	08/22/2006	Takanobu Ikari	KAS-5461	6957
24956 7590 01/02/2008 MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.				IINER
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
041 4-11 0	10/590,218	IKARI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INTHS DOWNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
_	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5 and 7-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/are 	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a contract of the contract	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attach = a = Wa)		•
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/06.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/590,218

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama in view of Toru et al (JP 05-321809). Akiyama discloses the claimed invention in Figures 2 and 6 except for the use of a plurality of exhaust gas temperature sensors. Toru et al teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a plurality of exhaust gas temperature sensors to determine abnormalities. It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the abnormality control of Akiyama by substituting a plurality of exhaust gas temperature sensors instead of the one of Akiyama as taught by Toru et al since utilizing a plurality of exhaust gas temperature sensors is a well known method of control in the internal combustion engine art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of Pratt, Richeson, Koegler et al and Ingram et al are cited to show engine control systems utilizing exhaust gas temperature sensing.

10/590,218

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-4855. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (6:00 AM-4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

WRW

December 21, 2007